1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	,	No. CV
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER SETTING RULE 16 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
11	v.	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
12	,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a Scheduling	
16	Conference is set for at in Courtroom 504, Sandra Day O'Connor	
17	U.S. Federal Courthouse, 401 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151. In	
18	preparation for this Scheduling Conference, it is hereby ordered as follows:	
19	A. Corporate Disclosure Statement	
20	Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule of	
21	Civil Procedure 7.1.1, any nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding	
22	must file a Corporate Disclosure Statement. If not previously filed, the Court directs any	
23	nongovernmental corporate party to file its Corporate Disclosure Statement within 10	
24	days of receipt of this Order. Forms are available on the Court's website.	
25	B. <u>Mandatory Initial Discovery Pilot Project</u>	
26	The Court is participating in the Mandatory Initial Discovery Pilot Project	
27	("MIDP"), which has been implemented in this District by General Order 17-08. The	
28	MIDP applies to all civil cases filed on or after May 1, 2017, other than cases listed in	

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

related

to

the

MIDP

note the November 1, 2018 amendments to the MIDP.

C. Rule 26(f) Meeting and Joint Proposed Case Management Report

are

The parties are directed to meet and confer at least 21 days before the Scheduling Conference. At this meeting, the parties shall develop a Joint Proposed Case Management Report. It is the responsibility of Plaintiff(s) to initiate the Rule 26(f) meeting, at which Defendant(s) shall promptly and cooperatively participate. The parties must jointly file the Joint Proposed Case Management Report with the Clerk of the Court at least 10 days before the Scheduling Conference. Absent extraordinary circumstances, no extensions of time will be granted.

Rule 26(a)(1)(B), actions under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, and cases

transferred for consolidated administration by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict

Litigation. The discovery obligations in the MIDP supersede the disclosures required by

Rule 26(a)(1) and are framed as court-ordered mandatory initial discovery. Unlike initial

disclosures required by current Rule 26(a)(1)(A) & (C), the MIDP does not allow parties

to opt out. Thus, if your case was filed after May 1, 2017, and does not fall within one of

the exceptions identified above, you must comply with the discovery obligations of the

MIDP. You should have received a notice regarding the MIDP when your case was filed

or you were served, and you should already be complying with the MIDP. Resources

available

http://www.azd.uscourts.gov/attorneys/mandatory-initial-discovery-pilot. Parties should

on

the

Court's

website

The Joint Proposed Case Management Report shall contain the following information in separately numbered paragraphs.

- 1. The counsel or pro se parties who attended the Rule 26(f) meeting and assisted in developing the Joint Proposed Case Management Report;
- 2. A list of all parties in the case, including any parent corporations or entities;
- 3. A short statement of the nature of the case (no more than three pages), including a description of each claim, defense, and affirmative defense;
- 4. A description of the principal factual and legal disputes in the case;

- 2 -

5. The jurisdictional basis for the case, citing specific jurisdictional statutes;¹

- 6. Any parties that have not been served and an explanation of why they have not been served, and any parties that have been served but have not answered or otherwise appeared. Unless counsel can otherwise show cause, a proposed form of order shall accompany the Joint Proposed Case Management Report that dismisses any party which has not been served, including fictitious and unnamed parties;²
- 7. A statement of whether any party expects to add additional parties to the case or otherwise to amend pleadings;
- 8. A listing of contemplated motions and a statement of the issues to be decided by those motions;
- 9. The prospects for settlement and potential methods for conducting settlement, including (a) whether the case is suitable for reference to a United States Magistrate Judge for a settlement conference, and (b) any other request of the Court for assistance in settlement efforts;
- 10. Whether any aspect of the case is suitable for reference to a special master or to a United States Magistrate Judge;
- 11. The status of any related cases pending before this or other courts;
- 12. A discussion of the parties' compliance to date with the MIDP, including a list of dates on which each party served its MIDP discovery responses, a list of dates when MIDP responses are due for parties that have not yet served them, and whether any issues have arisen under the MIDP. If issues have

Plaintiff(s) shall also apply under Rule 55 for default against any party who has been timely served and has not timely answered.

¹ If jurisdiction is based on diversity of citizenship, the report shall include a statement of the citizenship of every party and a description of the amount in dispute. See 28 U.S.C. §1332. The parties are reminded that (1) a corporation is a citizen of the state where it is incorporated and the state of its principal place of business and (2) partnerships and limited liability companies are citizens of every state in which one of their partners, owners, or members is a citizen. See 28 U.S.C. §1332(c); Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006). The parties are further reminded that the use of fictitious parties ("John Doe" or "ABC Corporation") does not create diversity jurisdiction. Fifty Assocs. v. Prudential Ins. Co. of Am., 446 F.2d 1187, 1191 (9th Cir. 1970).

arisen, the parties shall also include a description of those issues to be resolved at the Scheduling Conference. If the Joint Proposed Case Management Report raises MIDP issues for the Court to resolve, copies of the parties' MIDP disclosures at issue should be attached;

- 13. A discussion of any issues relating to preservation, disclosure, or discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI"), including the parties' preservation of ESI and the form or forms in which it will be produced;
- 14. A discussion of any issues relating to claims of privilege or work product;
- 15. A discussion of necessary discovery within the scope of Rule 26(b)(1), and should include:
 - a. The extent, nature, and location of discovery anticipated by the parties and why it is proportional to the needs of the case;
 - b. Suggested changes, if any, to the discovery limitations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
 - c. The number of hours permitted for each deposition, unless extended by agreement of the parties.
- 16. Proposed deadlines for each of the following events.
 - a. A deadline for amending the pleadings;
 - b. A deadline for lodging a proposed Joint Stipulated Protective Order with the Court, if desired;
 - c. A deadline for completing fact discovery. This will also be the deadline for final supplementation of discovery responses under the MIDP³ and pretrial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a)(3). Discovery requests must be served and depositions noticed sufficiently in advance of this date to ensure reasonable completion by the

³ General Order 17-08 should be reviewed carefully. It requires parties to timely supplement their MIDP responses as new information is discovered. Absent extraordinary circumstances, parties who fail to timely disclose relevant information will be precluded from using it in the case and may be subject to other sanctions. Parties who unreasonably postpone disclosure of relevant information to the end of the discovery period also may be subject to sanctions.

deadline, including time to resolve discovery disputes. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not entertain discovery disputes after this deadline;

- d. Dates for full and complete expert disclosures and rebuttal expert disclosures, if any, and a date for expert discovery cut-off;
- e. Case-specific deadlines and dates, such as the deadline to file a motion for class certification, the deadline to file a motion for dismissal or summary judgment based on qualified immunity, a date on which the parties are available for a *Markman* hearing, if applicable, and a deadline to file dispositive motions;
- f. A date by which the parties shall have engaged in good faith settlement talks and a description of settlement communications to date;
- 17. Whether a jury trial has been requested and whether the request for a jury trial is contested. If the request is contested, set forth the reasons why trial by jury is in dispute;
- 18. The estimated length of trial and any suggestions for shortening the trial;
- 19. Any other matters that will aid the Court and parties in resolving this case in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

D. <u>Rule 16 Scheduling Conference and Order</u>

The Court directs counsel and any unrepresented parties to review Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the objectives of the Scheduling Conference. Counsel who will be responsible for trial of the lawsuit for each party (and any party that is not represented by counsel) shall appear and participate in the Scheduling Conference and shall have authority to enter into stipulations regarding all matters that may be discussed. Appearance shall be in person for all attorneys based in Maricopa County. Any attorneys (including those based outside of Maricopa County) who cannot be present

in person must seek leave of the Court to appear telephonically at least three business days before the Scheduling Conference. A continuance of the Scheduling Conference will be granted only for good cause.

After the Scheduling Conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order. The Court will, absent good cause, enforce the deadlines in the Scheduling Order. The parties should plan their litigation activities accordingly.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 10 days, Plaintiff must serve this Order on any Defendant that has not yet appeared or answered.

Dated this ____ day of _____, ____.